IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

WRIT PETITION NO : 14399 of 1994

Between:

1. Y. Kannan Naidu s/o Y.Narasimha Murthi, aged 35 years, r/o H.No.36-91-70/3, Ramnagar, Kancharapalem, Visakhapatnam.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Managing Director, A.P.State Housing Corporation, Gruhakalpa Buildings, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Collector/Executive Director, A.P.State Housing Corporation, Visakhapatnam.
3. The District Manager, A.P.State Housing Corporation, Visakhapatnam.
4. K.V.S.S.M.Varma, s.o Venkata Suryanarayana Raju, Aged 28 years, r/o 43-34-14, Railway New Colony, Visakhapatnam.
5. G. Laxman Rao, s/o Sanni Babu, aged 33 years,

   r/o 43-34-14, Railway New Colony, Visakhapatnam.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order or direction or writ more particularly one in the nature of 'MANDAMUS' declaring the proceedings Rc.No.11/92/E, dated 19-7-1994 of the 2nd respondent as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequently direct the respondent No.1, 2 and 3 to continue the petitioner as Work Inspector at Visakhapatnam and to pass such further

order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner:SMT. SUMALINI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents No. 1 to 3 : Mr. V.C.H. Naidu SC for APSWH Corporation.

Counsel for the Respondents No. 4 and 5: None appeared.

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

-

-

The writ petition is filed with the prayer as follows:

> "To issue an order or direction or writ more particularly one in the nature of *Mandamus* declaring the proceedings Rs.No.11/92/E, dated 19.7.1994 of the 2nd respondent as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequently direct the respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3 to continue the petitioner as Inspector at Visakhapatnam."

When the matter is taken up, the learned Standing Counsel for the 1st respondent Sri V.Ch.Naidu represented that the impugned order has been subsequently withdrawn and the petitioner is continuing at Visakhapatnam from where he was sought to be transferred to warangal by virtue of the impugned order. In the circumstances, nothing survives for adjudication. The writ petition is therefore dismissed.

23.9.2004

knk

To

1. The Managing Director, A.P.State Housing Corporation, Gruhakalpa Buildings, Nampally, Hyderabad.

2. The Collector/Executive Director, A.P.State Housing Corporation, Visakhapatnam.

3. The District Manager, A.P.State Housing Corporation, Visakhapatnam.

4. Two CCs to the G.P. for Housing, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

5. Two CD copies.